

# **TOPIC ONE: THE GLOBAL ECONOMY**

## **International economic integration**

- the global economy
- Gross World Product
- globalisation
  - trade in goods and services
  - financial flows
  - investment and transnational corporations
  - technology, transport and communication
  - international division of labour, migration
- the international and regional business cycles

## **Trade, financial flows and foreign investment**

- the basis of free trade – its advantages and disadvantages
- role of international organisations:
  - WTO, IMF, World Bank, United Nations, OECD
- influence of government economic forums:
  - G20, G7/8
- Australia's multilateral and bilateral free trade agreements – (overview of two examples of each type of agreement)
- trading blocs, monetary unions and free trade agreements
  - advantages and disadvantages of multilateral and bilateral agreements
  - EU, APEC, NAFTA, ASEAN

## **Protection**

- reasons for protection
  - infant industry argument, domestic employment, dumping, defence
- methods of protection and the effects of protectionist policies on the domestic and global economy
  - tariffs, subsidies, quotas, local content rules, export incentives

## **Globalisation and economic development**

- differences between economic growth and economic development
- distribution of income and wealth
- income and quality of life indicators
- developing economies, emerging economies, advanced economies
- reasons for differences between nations
- effects of globalisation
- trade, investment and transnational corporations
- environmental sustainability
- the international business cycle.

## **INTERNATIONAL ECONOMIC INTERGRATION**

### **The Global Economy and Gross World Product (GWP)**

- GWP is the total production output of goods and services by all economies in the world for a given period of time
  - Essentially the sum of GDP of all economies

### **Economic Globalisation**

- Globalisation refers to the integration between different nations' economies, resulting in increased international influences on economic activity.

<b>INDICATOR</b>	<b>IMPACT OF GLOBALISATION</b>
<b>TRADE FLOWS</b>	<p><b>GENERAL INCREASED GLOBAL TRADE</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ Trade as % of global output: <b>37% (1987) to 53% (2017)</b></li> </ul> <p><b>Industrialisation: 1995 to 2018</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ Fuel and minerals have increased from <b>7% to 14%</b></li> <li>○ East Asia and the Pacific have shifted from <b>7% to 18%</b></li> </ul> <p><b>Improved Technology</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ Freight, containerised shipping, logistics/ financial development</li> <li>○ Countries with comparative advantage can produce what they are best at</li> <li>○ Better able to trade with comparative advantage (Riccardo)</li> </ul> <p><b>Protection Policy</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ Food/ Agriculture has fallen from <b>10% to 8%</b></li> <li>○ China tariff on <b>US Soybean 25% (2018)</b></li> <li>○ Multilateral agreements disadvantage non-member countries (trade diversion)</li> <li>○ EU Common Agricultural Policy: <b>38% of EU Budget</b></li> </ul>
<b>FINANCIAL FLOWS</b>	<p><b>Financial Deregulation</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ Financial deregulation in the 1970s/80s has brought about greater productivity</li> <li>○ Allowed economies with low savings to improve living standards (i.e. Australia SIG)                     <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ Forex market turned over <b>\$5.1T daily in 2016</b></li> </ul> </li> </ul> <p><b>Increased Volatility in Financial Flows</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ Speculators see to large shifts in capital between economies – causing volatility</li> <li>○ 95% of forex transactions are speculative</li> <li>○ 1990s Asian Financial Crisis – Indonesia economy contracted 15% GDP                     <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ A result of capital flight due to reduction in confidence</li> </ul> </li> </ul> <p><b>Developed/ Emerging Nations</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ FDI flows tend to favour emerging/ developed whilst low income nations are left</li> <li>○ Causes great inequality:                     <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ Low income nations got <b>1% of global FDI, developed got 70% (2015)</b></li> <li>○ North America holds <b>34% wealth</b>; Africa holds <b>1%</b> (population difference)</li> </ul> </li> <li>○ Deregulation has caused significant debt problems</li> </ul>

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>External Debt of <b>\$5.2T for low/middle income nations in 2016</b></li> </ul>
<b>TNC'S</b>	<p><b>Trans-National Corporations</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Expand and establish bringing investment, technology, skills, knowledge, capital and jobs – all of which significantly boost GWP <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Since 1990: TNC's growth by 67,000 – employing NET 74m employees</li> </ul> </li> <li>Tax Evasion schemes of TNC's harm developing nations who cannot challenge them <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Profit shifting by Amazon and Apple leaves nations out of pocket</li> </ul> </li> <li>Provides employees with minimum standards to maximise profits (minimise costs)</li> </ul>
<b>MIGRATION</b>	<p><b>International Division of Labour</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Int. Div. of Lab. Has seen workers move to where their skills are needed in the G. eco. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>WB estimate that 245m have migrated in search of greater labour rewards (18)</li> <li>7% increase in migrants moving to high-income nations (Low-Skilled labour)</li> <li>High skilled workers move to high-income nations (i.e. 'Brain Drain' Aus/NZ)</li> </ul> </li> </ul>
<b>GENERAL ASSESSMENT</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><b>GWP</b> growth averaged 3.7% during the 1980s – slowed to 2.7% in the last decade <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Shows adverse impacts of volatility in financial markets</li> </ul> </li> <li>Individual economies who embraced globalisation saw the benefits <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Australia 4.6% growth – China who grew 12% - mineral trade</li> <li>Advanced economies slowed growth to an average of 2% past few decades</li> </ul> </li> </ul>

### **International and Regional Business Cycle**

Globalisation has increased the degree in which the business cycle of a particular economy is synchronised with the global business cycle.

- The **international/ regional** business cycle refers to fluctuations in the level of economic activity in the global economy or region over a period of time.
- Synchronisation introduces volatility/ risk for the economy (i.e. GFC, Eurozone Crisis)

### **WHAT MAKES NATIONS MORE SUSCEPTABLE TO EXTERNAL SHOCKS:**

- Trade flows** (i.e. China growth during MIB affected AUS because we were in trade)
- Investment flows** (eco. Conditions in one nation will affect the investment flows in)
- TNC's** (exist within all economies thus, conditions in one will affect the overarch)
- Financial Flows** (financial integration – i.e. downturn causes failure to repay loans)
- Market confidence** (global markets share confidence – i.e. GFC)
- Global Interest Rate levels:** As developing nations borrow from advanced economies
- Commodity Prices:** Importance of resources (i.e. oil, energy) changes of prices will affect everyone – higher oil benefits oil exporting nations but disadvantages emerging economies who rely on this import.

### **WHAT MAKES NATIONS LESS SUSCEPTABLE TO EXTERNAL SHOCKS**

- Interest Rates:** Influence borrowing (i.e. High US Int. R. reduce borrowing from dev.)
- Fiscal:** Ec. Growth is strongly influenced by fiscal stance adopted by gov.
- Exchange R:** Impacts the level of International Comp. and confidence in economies

- **Structural Factors:** Level of growth depends on factors (sanitation, health, education)
- **Regional Factors:** Strongly linked to their region (i.e. ASEAN)

### **POSITIVE EFFECTS OF SYNCHRONISATION**

- Ec. growth GWP as countries specialise in productivity and engage in trade
- As economic growth increases – individual economies are likely to benefit too

### **NEGATIVE EFFECTS OF SYNCHRONISATION**

- More exposed to downturns in business cycle (regional and international)
- Need for coordinated macroeconomic policy (i.e. IMF recommend fiscal stimulus GFC)
  - Coordination of eco. Management and financial regulation is required for adequate management of the international business cycle.

## **TRADE, FINANCIAL FLOWS AND FOREIGN INVESTMENT**

### **BASIS OF FREE TRADE**

- **Free Trade:** can be defined as a situation where government impose no artificial barriers to trade that restrict the free exchange of goods and services between countries.
  - Argument of free trade is based on the economic principle of **comparative advantage (Riccardo):**
  - Nations should specialise in areas of production which they have the lowest opportunity cost and trade with other economies to maximise both output.

<b>ADVANTAGE</b>	<b>DISADVANTAGE</b>
Allow countries to obtain goods and services which they cannot produce themselves to satisfy demand.	<b>Structural Unemployment:</b> Domestic firms find it hard to compete – should correct in long term (i.e. BlueScope Steel, Holden 2017)
Allow countries to specialise in their most efficient industries (resource allocation)	<b>Infant Industries</b> are not protected
<b>Efficient allocation of resources</b> produce goods they have in comparative advantage.	<b>Dumping</b> may arise from surplus production – harming domestic industries.
Domestic firms are forced to compete with foreign producers ( <b>international competitiveness</b> )	Encourages <b>environmentally irresponsible production</b> as firms try to undercut competitor's prices and forgo environmental protection
Innovation and spread of technology/ skills	
<b>Higher Living Standards:</b> lower prices, increased access to goods and services – economic growth	

## ROLE OF INTERNATIONAL ORGANISATIONS

ROLE	POS IMPACT	NEG EFFECT
<p><b>WORLD TRADE ORG.</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ 1995; 164 members</li> <li>○ Implement global trade agreements</li> <li>○ Resolve trade disputes</li> </ul> <p><b>However:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ Member nations can have veto rights</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ Dispute resolution has improved economic/ political harmony               <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ Australia successfully challenged Korean restrictions on meat (2000)</li> </ul> </li> <li>○ <b>Uruguay Round</b> (1986 – 1994)               <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ US and EU agreed to cut agricultural subsidies</li> <li>○ Sub. Cut by 20% - tariffs by 36%</li> </ul> </li> <li>○ <b>Trade Facilitation Agreement</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ Reduced Red Tape costs by 15%</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	<p><b>DOHA ROUND 2001:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ Tried to reduce agriculture and manufacturing protection</li> <li>○ GWP would have been boosted \$520b by 2015 – 140m out of poverty.</li> <li>○ 2004: Goals watered down</li> <li>○ 2008: Talks broke down due to veto rights of member nations</li> </ul>
<p><b>INTNTL. MON FUND</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ 1944; 189 members</li> <li>○ Maintain financial stability</li> <li>○ Provide assistance to economies in maintaining external stability (financial)</li> </ul>	<p><b>During the GFC</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ Injected \$250b into global economy               <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ No austerity measures</li> </ul> </li> <li>○ Lent funds to Ireland, Greece, Portugal during the EU sovereign debt crisis               <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ Austerity measures had adverse impacts</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	<p><b>ASIAN FINANCIAL CRISIS 90s</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ Structural Adjustment policy forced contractionary FP</li> <li>○ Indonesia GDP contracted 15%</li> </ul> <p><b>EU DEBT CRISIS LENDING</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ u/e at 20% - led to a riot outside Greek parliament 2011</li> </ul>
<p><b>WORLD BANK</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ 1944; 189 members</li> <li>○ Assist poorer nations with ec. development</li> <li>○ Provide loans to developing nations</li> </ul>	<p><b>AIMS:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ Reduce extreme pov. To &lt;3%</li> <li>○ Inc. income growth by 40% of every country by 2030</li> <li>○ Half people living on &lt;1USD from 1990 to 2010</li> </ul> <p><b>LOANS:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ Provided below commercial rates</li> <li>○ GFC lending increased from 14b to 35</li> </ul> <p><b>HEAVILY INDEBTED POOR NATIONS PROGRAM:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ Provides debt relief to 36 poor nations</li> <li>○ 75b worth of repayments</li> </ul>	<p><b>DOMINATED</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ Dominated by advanced economies</li> <li>○ ‘Washington consensus’ saw the interests of projects compromised by interests of advanced economies.</li> <li>○ <b>Zimbabwe</b> Hydro-power projects have displaced indigenous peoples and undermined national sovereignty.</li> </ul>
<p><b>UNITED NATIONS</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ 193 members, 80% GWP, 2/3 population</li> </ul>	<p><b>SUSTAINABLE DEV. GOALS</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ Targets to address poverty that are agreed upon by UN member states.</li> <li>○ Official development assistance risen from \$81b (2000) to \$134b in 2014.</li> </ul>	<p><b>DIFFICULT CONSENSUS</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ Agreements are difficult to get consensus on by all member nations.</li> </ul>

<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ Broad agenda of ecos, security, environment, health etc.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ Agreements seen by WB as necessary to economic development (blueprint)</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ <b>Trump</b> leaves Paris Agreement in 2017 (climate change)</li> </ul>
<p><b>OECD</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ 35 members</li> <li>○ Researches economic issues and facilitates discussion.</li> <li>○ Promotes sustainable economic/ employment growth/ level</li> <li>○ Organisation for economic cooperation and development</li> </ul>	<p><b>THE REPORTS</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ Useful for government policy decision</li> <li>○ 2008/9 OECD promoted use of MP and FP stimulus by members to avoid recession.</li> <li>○ <b>2016</b> OECD focused on reducing u/e in member nations/ economies</li> </ul>	N/A

### INFLUENCE OF GOVERNMENT ORGANISATIONS

FORUM	EFFECTIVENESS
<p><b>GROUP OF 7/8</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ 60% of GWP, 14% Population</li> <li>○ USA, France, Italy, UK, Canada, Germany, Japan</li> <li>○ Russia expelled in 2014</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ Forum where most powerful economies discussed global economic decisions</li> <li>○ Potential for the coordination of macroeconomic policy</li> <li>○ Significant decline in power due to increase of left out economies (CHINA)</li> </ul>
<p><b>GROUP OF 20</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ 80% of GWP, 2/3 of population</li> <li>○ G8 + Australia, Brazil, China, India etc.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ More effective than G8 due to amount of countries and representation</li> <li>○ <b>2009:</b> Improved fiscal coordination by gov. around the world</li> <li>○ <b>2009:</b> Increased supervision of global financial markets: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ resulted in \$4t of stimulus packages, collective expansionary MP and FP – improved financial stability</li> </ul> </li> <li>○ <b>LIMITATIONS:</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ Agreements are more lip-service</li> <li>○ Brisbane 2014 – 2% economic growth not backed by policy</li> <li>○ Hamburg 2017 – reaffirmed commitment to Paris Agreement</li> <li>○ Argentina 2018: Step towards regulation of cryptocurrency</li> </ul> </li> </ul>

### ADVANTAGES AND DISADVANTAGES OF MULTILATERAL AND BILATERAL TRADE AGREEMENTS

AGREEMENT	OUTLINE
<p><b>CHINA AUSTRALIA FREE TRADE AGREEMENT (CHAFTA)</b></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ Promotes trade with largest two-way trading partner</li> <li>○ 86% of Australian exports to China are tariff free – 98% on full implementation</li> <li>○ Improved competitiveness of agricultural industries</li> <li>○ Greater market access into China for certain services</li> </ul>

<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ 2015</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ <b>Flaws:</b> Allows Chinese companies to bring in Chinese migrant workers at the cost of Australian jobs</li> </ul>
<b>AUSTRALIA – UNITED STATE FREE TRADE AGREEMENT (AUSFTA)</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ 2004</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ Reduced barriers in agriculture, manufactured goods, services, investment</li> <li>○ Tariffs on all goods eliminated by 2015</li> <li>○ <b>2005 PC:</b> AUS GDP increased by 0.4-0.7% within 10 years</li> <li>○ <b>PC:</b> Estimate growth of 6% in services due to reduction in regulations</li> <li>○ No improvement for Australian sugar exporters</li> </ul>
<b>ASEAN – AUSTRALIA – NZ FREE TRADE AGREEMENT (AANZFTA)</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ 2010</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ Largest of Australia’s FTAs</li> <li>○ Covered 20% of trade in g/s – combined GDP of \$3.1t</li> <li>○ Eliminate tariffs on 96% of AUS X’s to ASEAN nations by 2020</li> <li>○ Forecasted to boost the Aus. economy by US\$19b during the 2010s</li> </ul>
<b>ASIAN PACIFIC ECONOMY CO-OPERATION FORUM 1989</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ Questionable?</li> </ul>

**TRADING BLOCS, MONETARY UNIONS AND FREE TRADE AGREEMENTS**

**Trading Bloc:** A number of nations join together in a formal preferential trading agreement to the exclusion of other nations. Impose protection on non-member countries. (EU & NAFTA)

**Monetary Union:** The creation of a common currency and coordinated monetary policy between nations – compliments the creation of a single market improving trade efficiency.

<b>POSITIVE IMPACTS</b>	<b>NEGATIVE IMPACTS</b>
<b>EUROPEAN UNION: 1959 – 28 countries, 14% of GWP</b>	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ Protectionist measures have benefitted EU</li> <li>○ Common Agricultural Policy</li> <li>○ <b>Monetary Union:</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ Reduced transactional cost</li> <li>○ No currency fluctuation risk</li> <li>○ Est. increase to 1% GDP in EU</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ CAP costs 38% of the EU budget <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ Trade diverts away from AUS</li> <li>○ Lowered world prices and caused retaliation from the US</li> </ul> </li> <li>○ <b>Monetary Union:</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ Loss on national currency control</li> <li>○ Loss of MP for individual economies</li> </ul> </li> </ul>
<b>NORTH AMERICA FREE TRADE AGREEMENT: 1994: USA, Canada, Mexico</b>	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ Tripped trade between nations over 20 years</li> <li>○ Non-member countries moving production facilities to Mexico to take advantage of reduced barriers (i.e. Japan)</li> <li>○ Increased manufacturing in Mexico</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ Created trade diversion away from other nations</li> <li>○ Trump plans to re-negotiate the terms of the NAFTA <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ i.e. 25% tariffs on steel and 10% on aluminium against Canada and Mexico</li> </ul> </li> </ul>